



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,442	11/28/2006	James P. Pfau	16240.M303A	2477
28410 7590 06/24/2009 BERENATO, WHITE & STAVISH, LLC 6550 ROCK SPRING DRIVE SUITE 240 BETHESDA, MD 20817				
EXAMINER NELSON, MICHAEL B				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1794				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/24/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 06/01/09 have been considered but are not persuasive.
2. Regarding applicant's arguments directed towards the purported lack of operability of the Ford reference's door skin. The facts that the skin can be placed on a door renders it operable as a door skin. Applicant's allegation that the formula of Ford is "unacceptably wavy" is lacking in objective evidence and is referring to a quality which is not claimed (i.e. the claims do not recite that the door have a specific degree of waviness).
3. Regarding applicant's arguments against the size of the door of Bradley, the size of Bradley et al's door does not preclude the ribs from reducing the warping and providing structural support.
4. Regarding applicant's arguments against the combination of the Sasaki et al. reference, applicant argues that Sasaki et al. is merely disclosed as an "impact absorbent backing" however, at C10, L40-65, the use with a door panel is specifically disclosed. Moreover, the examiner maintains that high impact resistance would be beneficial to door skins. Applicant's allegation that the door panel would be too porous is not found to contradict any claimed limitations (i.e. it is not claimed that the door skin be non-porous). Applicant argues against the routine adjustment of the properties of claims 18-21 on the basis that there is not showing as to how the properties would be adjusted. All of the properties would be controlled by adjusting the relative amounts of components in the overall composition. The components are described in the prior art and their effects on

Art Unit: 1794

the properties are obvious to one having ordinary skill. The reinforcing glass fibers of Ford are known to affect stiffness, impact strength and toughness and the inorganic filler of Watterson et al. provides reduced shrinkage. Applicant then argues that there are “unexpectedly superior ranges” of the various properties however the examiner notes that no evidence is provided to corroborate these claims.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL B. NELSON whose telephone number is (571) 270-3877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner’s supervisor, David Sample can be reached on (571) 272-1376. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 1794

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David R. Sample/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/MN/
06/22/09